

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/078,186	02/19/2002	David Charles Amory	198/40101/468	5423	
7590 10/20/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER		
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,				NOLAND,	NOLAND, THOMAS	
	BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD. 105 WEST ADAMS STREET 36TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60603					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2856.		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	( ))					
Application No. Applicant(s)						
10/078,186 AMORY ET AL.						
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit						
, Thomas P. Noland 2856						
Th MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app ars on th cover sh et with the correspondence address.  Period for Reply	<b></b>					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2002.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453-O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>Feb.19, 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office agriculator for a list of the certified copies not received.  * See the attached detailed Office agriculator for a list of the certified copies not received.	e					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application Number: 10/078,186 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1 4

1. The preliminary amendment filed Feb. 19, 2003 has been entered.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the bag of claim 8 and gas analyzer of claim 12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.
- 4. The specification must be amended to describe any proposed drawing correction.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application Number: 10/078,186 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

} ^

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by "industry-standard sample probe".

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown US 3,449,563 in view of Goitein US 3,358,683.

Brown discloses especially in its drawing and abstract a gas sampler with an integral cooler. It does not disclose the use of a nonelectrically operated cooler but such would have been an obvious expedient to allow for greater portability or to ease safety if working in an explosive environment in view of the teaching of the use of a non electrically operated cooler as an option in a gas analyzer by Goitein in col. 6, lines 69-75. Incorporation of a portable analyzer would obviously increase utility in such systems.

Art Unit: 2856

. . .

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The references show gas sample handling.

10. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (703) 305-4765. The examiner can

normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (703) 305-4705.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Thomas P. Noland

10/19/0)

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2856
Thomas Mod

Noland/ek 10/16/03